

**PRESENT:** Mayor Forster  
Councillor Todd  
Councillor Anderson  
Councillor Coleridge  
Councillor Ferguson  
Councillor McLean\*

**REGRETS:** Councillor Peddemors

**STAFF:** P. Clark, City Manager  
R. Clarkson, Director of Leisure Services  
T. Penney, Director, Corporate Services  
S. Kurylo, Director, Financial Services  
D. Pollock, Director, Operations

\*Councillor McLean departed the meeting at 10:30 p.m.

---

Mayor Forster presided. The meeting was called to order at 7:10 p.m.

2007-352 Agenda

**1. AGENDA APPROVAL**

**RESOLVED THAT** the Agenda for the Meeting of City Council scheduled for Monday, July 23, 2007 be adopted as circulated.

**M/S/C**

**2. COMBINED PUBLIC MEETINGS**

**2.1 DEVELOPMENT PERMIT NO. 287, DEVELOPMENT PERMIT NO. 288**

The Mayor introduced the Permits and read the following descriptions of the Permits:

**DEVELOPMENT PERMIT NO. 287**

The purpose of this permit is to allow for a review of form and character amendments to Development Permit No. 270 associated with modifications to buildings 3 and 4, the relocation of the motor and addition of a galleria between buildings 3 and 4 at 15141 (portion) and 15177 Thrift Avenue, 15150 Russell Avenue and 1471, 1475 and 1477 Johnston Road.

**DEVELOPMENT PERMIT NO. 288**

The purpose of this permit is to allow for a review of proposed signage at 15141 (portion) and 15177 Thrift Avenue, 15150 Russell Avenue and 1471, 1475 and 1477 Johnston Road.

The Director of Development Services provided a review of the proposed permits.

**Correspondence/Submissions:**

The Director of Corporate Services reported that there was no correspondence or submissions received and the Mayor called for speakers from the public.

**SPEAKERS' LIST:**

**The record notes that every effort has been made to verify the correct spelling and addresses of the speakers; however, we apologize if any person's name or address has been incorrectly recorded.**

**(a) Margaret Woods, 14170 Wheatley Avenue, White Rock**

Ms. Woods expressed concern regarding the change, although it appeared that there was no change to the maximum height of the building. She requested clarification regarding the addition of the pool to the building and asked if that change wouldn't add height. Further concern was expressed about how many more changes will be requested for the site and how the City was benefiting from the changes.

The Director of Development Services advised that the pool is a replacement of the patio and is on the same elevation.

**(b) Richard Henry, Architect for the project**

Mr. Henry advised that neither of the pieces will contribute to the height of the structure. The pool will be located on Building 4 that is a (4) four-storey wing.

Following the conclusion of the formal speakers list the Mayor called three times for additional speakers and, hearing none, declared the combined Public Meeting for Development Permit No. 287 and Development Permit No. 288 closed at 7:19 p.m.

2007-353 DP 287,288

**RESOLVED THAT** staff be authorized to issue Development Permit No. 287 and Development Permit No. 288.

M/S/C

**3. COMBINED PUBLIC HEARING AND PUBLIC MEETING**  
**3.1 BYLAW NO. 1815 AND DEVELOPMENT PERMIT NO. 286-1486 EVERALL STREET**

The Mayor introduced the Bylaw and Permit and read the following descriptions of the Bylaw and Permit:

**BYLAW NO. 1815**

The purpose of this bylaw is to rezone 1486 Everall Street from One-Unit Residential (RS-1) to Low Density Multiple-Unit Residential (RM-1) which will allow for five residential townhouse units in a three (3) storey building.

**DEVELOPMENT PERMIT NO. 286**

The purpose of this permit is to allow for review of the form, character and siting of a proposed three (3) storey, five (5) residential townhouse unit building at 1486 Everall Street. This permit also allows for a rear yard (east property line) setback of 4.6m (15ft).

The Director of Development Services provided a review of the proposed zoning bylaw amendment and development permit.

**Correspondence/Submissions:**

The Director of Corporate Services reported that the following submissions were received:

- (a) Joe and Lori Crosara, 1435 Everall Street – Against
- (b) Jean and Gerry Kromm, 1491 Vidal Street – Against

**SPEAKERS' LIST:**

The record notes that every effort has been made to verify the correct spelling and addresses of the speakers; however, we apologize if any person's name or address has been incorrectly recorded.

**(a) Jean Kromm, 1491 Vidal Street, White Rock**

Ms. Kromm, who resides next to the proposed development in Mariner's Reach, provided a presentation in conjunction with her comments. She indicated that the development proposal for the property in question is double the density of Mariner's Reach and believes that Mariner's Reach should be the

prototype for the neighborhood. Ms. Kromm's main concern was with respect to the placement of the buildings on the property – the front of the development faces south and touches the property line on the north side, and on the east side it is close to the property line (Mariner's Reach allows for much more green space). Ms. Kromm suggested that Mr. McBride, a neighbor, will be impacted. Her presentation depicted the backyard of 1486 Everall and illustrated how close the proposed development will be to the property line. She emphasized the negative impact of such high density in a quiet neighbourhood, and how it would set a precedent for density that could be applied to another 160 townhouses in the neighbourhood. She then showed several photographs to illustrate how the proposal would tamper with the beautiful environment. Ms. Kromm concluded by indicating that the City's Parks Plan already shows a deficiency of parkland, and this property is one of the quietest and greenest in White Rock, and therefore should be preserved in an effort to retain greenspace. She emphasized that doubling the density is completely unacceptable: "Give White Rock a neighbourhood it can be proud of."

**(b) Paul Keyton, 1471 Vidal Street (Mariner's Reach), White Rock**

Mr. Keyton indicated that he has been a resident of Mariner's Reach for 14 years, and that visitors admire the greenery in the area. He expressed agreement with Ms. Kromm's points, and his desire to achieve a "win win" situation for all parties involved. He raised the issue of what trees would be retained and suggested that four of them in particular be evaluated for health. In order to preserve the greenspace, he would prefer to see a townhouse development of four townhouses or two semi-detached buildings. He concluded by emphasizing that the property can be carefully developed with less density, thus creating more livability for the new owners and becoming a template for the whole block.

**(c) Mindy Kollman, 1479 Vidal Street, White Rock**

Ms. Kollman was not pleased that her property will be right up against the property line of the proposed development. She asked why some of the trees will be coming down, and expressed her desire that the stronger and well-rooted trees

(those with little risk of falling) be retained. She questioned why the developer wants to build 5 townhouses as opposed to one or two houses. Ms. Kollman further indicated that although Everall Street is currently very quiet with good parking, the acceptance of this proposal will set bad precedent for future development and it will be the beginning of White Rock becoming an overpopulated “shantytown”. She questioned how parking and animal control will be handled. She concluded by expressing concern over the environmental impact of tree removal and high density.

**(d) Gordon Hammond, 1041 Kent Street, White Rock**

Mr. Hammond read from his written submission, which will form part of the record of submissions. He spoke against the proposed development, as he did not support the increased density. Mr. Hammond expressed his belief that the area should be developed as one major development so as to build a genteel development of townhouses among the trees. Council was asked to reject the proposal and consider the area as one development.

**(e) Graham Farstad, 1030-470 Granville Street, Vancouver**

As representative of the developers, Mr. Farstad expressed his belief in protecting the environment and indicated that the protection of the Douglas fir trees will take consideration over all other aspects of the development. He indicated that since most of the trees are in the front of the site, this development will only work if the setbacks can be modified. The building balconies are proposed in the north, south and west, and most units will have two balconies with each unit being different in design. Water flows have been looked at and a management plan has been developed to retain the water on site. Mr. Farstad further indicated that the addition of Dogwood trees and one Magnolia tree have been proposed in order to add color to the undergrowth, and that the only Douglas fir tree to be removed will be replaced with two trees. An arborist has been retained to ensure the longevity of the trees. Mr. Farstad then clarified that the setback is 4.6 metres, and that the project is 3 storeys not 5 storeys. He concluded by expressing how he has enjoyed the experience of working with the Design Panel and believes that the project is better as a result.

**(f) Michael Coulthard, Professional Forester, 342 W. 8<sup>th</sup> Avenue, Vancouver**

Mr. Coulthard indicated that he was retained to make recommendations on how to keep the trees on the site and in particular the protection of the root system. He advised that a large area was needed to protect the trees because they are so large, and that growing space will be accommodated. He indicated that the previous concerns about the driveway have been addressed, and that a root protection fence will go up before any construction starts.

**(g) Margaret Woods, 14170 Wheatley Avenue, White Rock**

Ms. Woods expressed concern that even though the City has long been seeking to purchase park space, in this case the approval of this proposal would entail the City removing green space, since the property in question contains the last stand of old growth forest in White Rock. She expressed additional concern that the City still doesn't have a tree preservation bylaw, and that too many high density developments are being approved. Ms. Woods indicated that White Rock already has enough people, and that continual rezoning for higher density will reduce the general quality of life. She questioned how best practices in planning and excellence in development are being demonstrated through these kinds of proposals. She further questioned whether the proposed project is being coordinated with the Environment Committee and the Parks Department. Ms. Woods suggested that it appears that the City's arborist hasn't been consulted. She concluded that in this proposal and future proposals the neighbourhood and the neighbourhood plan needs to be taken into consideration.

**(h) Lloywin English, 1486 Everall, White Rock**

Ms. English indicated that she enjoys living in the neighbourhood, and was "horrified" to learn of the development. She expressed concern that the density of the proposed development is too high, and that the trees may be

damaged. She requested that the developer do something more manageable and livable with the property.

**(i) Jean Kromm, 1491 Vidal Street, White Rock**

Ms. Kromm requested clarification on the lot line, believing that the deck along the edge of the building will be 5-feet 2-inches from the Mariner's Reach property line. She retracted her alleged comment about 5 storeys, because she indicated that she knew the building was 3 storeys. Ms. Kromm reiterated her previous comments regarding increased density, and emphasized that it would be a mistake to proceed with this high-density development. She called for a neighborhood planning process to be undertaken in this neighborhood, taking into consideration the forest that makes up part of the neighbourhood. She urged Council to carefully consider the precedent that could be set if the development was approved.

The Director of Development Services advised that the development proposal was circulated for comment throughout the City Departments, and that the patio area is above grade and therefore is not part of the building footprint. He also indicated that the area is categorized in the OCP as low-density multi-unit residential and this designation has been consistent throughout past iterations of the plan.

Following the conclusion of the formal speakers list the Mayor called three times for additional speakers and, hearing none, declared the Public Hearing for Bylaw No. 1815 and the Public Meeting for Development Permit 286 closed at 8:00 p.m.

**15.2 BROUGHT FORWARD  
REZONING BYLAW NO. 1815 – 1486 EVERALL STREET**

The City of White Rock Rezoning Bylaw No. 1815 to rezone 1486 Everall Street from One-Unit Residential (RS-1) to Low Density Multiple-Unit Residential (RM-1) is presented for third reading. The bylaw received first and second readings on July 9, 2007 and was the subject of a Public Hearing held earlier this evening.

A lengthy discussion ensued regarding the impact of increasing density on the neighborhood, the value of implementing a neighborhood planning process, and the importance of creating a diversity of housing

stock in White Rock. It was also noted that, by retaining trees, the developer has shown consideration for the value of the site as well as for accessibility and environmental concerns. There was some concern regarding the availability of parking and the precedent-setting aspects to the proposal.

2007-354 Bylaw 1815

**RESOLVED THAT** the City of White Rock Rezoning Bylaw No. 1815 not be given third reading;

**AND THAT** it be referred back to the staff for further consultation with the neighbourhood.

**DEFEATED**  
**AGAINST: Councillors Anderson, Todd,**  
**Ferguson and Mayor Forster**

2007-355 Bylaw 1815

**RESOLVED THAT** the City of White Rock Rezoning Bylaw No. 1815 be given third reading.

**M/S/C**  
**AGAINST: Councillors Coleridge and McLean**

**4. PUBLIC MEETINGS**  
**4.1 DEVELOPMENT VARIANCE PERMIT NO. 289 – 14440**  
**SUNSET LANE, 14401 SUNSET DRIVE**

The Mayor introduced the Permit and read the following description of the Permit:

The purpose of the Permit is to allow for the following variances to facilitate a lot line adjustment at 14440 Sunset Lane and 14401 Sunset Drive:

- To vary the road dedication requirement for Magdalen Crescent Lane from 7m (23ft) to 0m (0ft);
- To allow the frontage common to Lot A and Sunset Lane to be the front lot line for the lot, and;
- To vary the lot depth requirement for Lot B from 27.4m (89.9ft) to 26.47m (86.8ft)

The Director of Development Services provided a review of the proposed variance permit.

**Correspondence/Submissions:**

The Director of Corporate Services reported that the following submissions were received:

- (a) Trevor Small, 14457 Magdalen Crescent – Against: concern about road safety (0m setback) and bad precedent
- (b) Marilyn Nunuk, 14420 Sunset – Against: concern that height and building envelope restrictions are maintained
- (c) Garry and Lori McIntosh, 14410 Sunset – Against: concern that height restriction is maintained

**SPEAKERS' LIST:**

The record notes that every effort has been made to verify the correct spelling and addresses of the speakers; however, we apologize if any person's name or address has been incorrectly recorded.

**(a) Gail Neufeldt, 14430 Sunset Lane, White Rock**

As an owner of adjacent property, Ms. Neufeldt reiterated the concerns of the neighborhood, including concern about the height-restrictive covenant and whether it will remain. She indicated that she was trying to understand the impact on the property envelopes; she didn't realize the frontage was going to be changed and how the impact to the line of sight would change as a result.

**(b) Shirley Holowaychuk, 14455 Sunset Lane, White Rock**

Ms. Holowaychuk questioned whether it would be easier for the applicant to build closer to Sunset Lane in the future if the setback were allowed. She expressed her concern that if this variance goes ahead others will be allowed.

**(c) Barbara Cooper, 14450 Magdalen Avenue, White Rock**

Ms. Cooper indicated that she did not have a problem with the lot line being adjusted between the properties, but was concerned about the front and side of the property being on Sunset Lane. She asked how far back the side of the house has to be from the Magdalen Crescent lot line. She suggested that it will be crowded.

**(d) Garry MacIntosh, 14410 Sunset Lane, White Rock**

Mr. MacIntosh read from his submission, which will form part of the record of submissions. He noted that he was not generally against the proposal, but was concerned about the restrictive covenant. He questioned whether this variance, if granted, would be subject to the restrictive covenant remaining with the lot, and requested confirmation that the variance to the property line in no way alters the provisions of the existing 14401 Sunset Drive covenant.

The Director of Development Services advised that two covenants are registered on the property and the City is not a party to the covenants. As such, any remedy would be between the parties to the covenants. He further advised that setbacks would not change from the current situation; front, rear and sidelines would remain as they are now. He then noted that the application to vary the road dedication requirement for Magdalen Crescent Lane from 7 meters to 0 meters is supported by staff since the street is currently functioning. Should redevelopment occur, this would require dedication from future redevelopment.

Following the conclusion of the formal speakers list the Mayor called three times for additional speakers and, hearing none, declared the Public Meeting for Development Variance Permit No. 289 closed at 9:30 p.m.

A discussion ensued regarding whether the covenant could be strengthened. Council was advised that the covenant could be noted in the City's file in Development Services; however, Council is not a party to the covenant. The covenant runs with the land and is registered at the Land Title Office in perpetuity. There was concern expressed that because there is currently no application, Council cannot know what the purpose of the development variance permit will eventually be.

2007-356 DVP 289

**RESOLVED THAT** staff be authorized to issue Development Variance Permit No. 289 with the restrictive covenant being noted on the City's file.

**M/S/C**

**4.2 DEVELOPMENT PERMIT NO. 283 – 1598 PARKER PLACE**

The Mayor introduced the Permit and read the following description of the Permit:

The purpose of this permit is to allow for review of the form, character and siting of a proposed two (2) storey, 391.3m<sup>2</sup> (4,212ft<sup>2</sup>) duplex at 1598 Parker Place.

The Director of Development Services provided a review of the proposed permit.

**Correspondence/Submissions:**

The Director of Corporate Services reported that no correspondence or submissions were received and then the Mayor called for speakers from the public.

**SPEAKERS' LIST:**

**The record notes that every effort has been made to verify the correct spelling and addresses of the speakers; however, we apologize if any person's name or address has been incorrectly recorded.**

**(a) Sophia Alexandrova, 1599 Parker Place, White Rock**

Ms. Alexandrova read from her submission, which will form part of the record of submissions. She first questioned who the current owner of the property is, since the original development permit was issued to the land when it was owned by a different person. She then indicated that she was opposed to the redevelopment for a variety of reasons, including the likelihood of increased traffic, lack of street parking, and the proposed duplex being out of character with the neighbourhood. Ms. Alexandrova referenced a similar proposal from the 1990s in the same area that was turned down by the Council of the day. She expressed her concern about the ability of Reason Management Ltd to complete such a large construction project, since the company does not appear to have a functioning website nor is listed in the telephone book. She questioned the experience and expertise of Reason Management, and wondered whether the company has liability insurance to cover any damages that may occur during construction. She then asked how adjacent residents' discomfort (ie noise and inconvenience) will be addressed, and whether there would be damage to lawns and

curbs. She asked for a timeline on construction and expressed concern regard potential caused some damage.

**(b) Lipa Meroniuk, 1558 Parker Place (owner), White Rock**

Ms. Meroniuk provided a plot plan of the area and advised that she and three other family members own the properties on Parker Place. She indicated that they would like to preserve the street and have no objections to duplexes. Their main concern is with the parking in the area. Her secondary concern is with respect to the construction; she requested that curbs and access be monitored. She concluded by saying that she was pleased that more “residents only” street parking is being considered.

**(c) Mike Hannas, co-owner of the property, owner of Reason Management, currently residing at 1553 Thrift Avenue, White Rock**

Mr. Hannas addressed some of the concerns raised. With regard to parking, he indicated that he intends to retain the existing garage in the back and will construct another garage on the far side of the building for the other side of the duplex. There is adequate parking on the lot and he will try to minimize the impact of any parking on the street. With respect to the issue of the single family home neighborhood and houses in character with the neighborhood, he presented an illustration of the house drawing and noted that the intent was to design the duplex to look like a single family residence. With respect to construction expertise, Mr. Hannas, as owner of Reason Management, indicated that his company works in construction management and that he has a degree from BCIT in construction management. He expressed his desire to be a good neighbour, and advised that he approached his neighbours at the beginning of the process. He will continue to be available to the neighbours at any time should they have concerns.

**(d) Lipa Meroniuk, 1558 Parker Place, White Rock**

Ms. Meroniuk questioned the meaning of the little red marked pins in the existing driveway. She reiterated that she remains concerned about the boundary line, and asked how far the property line extended. She indicated that her primary concern

is with parking and access for service vehicles, and that there will be a lot of paving.

The Director of Development Services displayed the survey drawing of the existing home and driveway and advised that should the redevelopment go forward, the driveway would be entirely on the property. With reference to the past application, there was an error in the original calculation and it was later confirmed that the site area was large enough for a duplex. He indicated that a copy of the survey can be provided to the adjacent owners.

**(e) Sophia Alexandrova, 1599 Parker Place, White Rock**

Ms. Alexandrova advised that her main objection was that this will be the only duplex on the street, and that it would not fit in with the single family homes that make up the rest of the neighbourhood. She indicated that she appreciates that Mr. Hannas wants to be a good neighbor, yet she is still uneasy about the large party that recently took place. She remains opposed to the project.

**(f) Mr. Hannas, owner of the property, 1553 Thrift Avenue, White Rock**

Mr. Hannas expressed apologies about the party and indicated that it wouldn't happen again.

Following the conclusion of the formal speakers list the Mayor called three times for additional speakers and, hearing none, declared the Public Meeting for Development Permit No. 283 closed at 10:15 p.m.

In the ensuing discussion, Council requested clarification on the number of parking stalls proposed on the property and the driveway encroachment. The Director of Development Services indicated that four parking spots will be created, thus meeting the bylaw requirement, and that driveway access will have to conform and not encroach on the adjacent property.

**RESOLVED THAT** staff be authorized to issue Development Permit No. 283.

**M/S/C**

- 2007-358 Minutes
- 5. PREVIOUS MINUTES**  
**RESOLVED THAT** the Minutes of the Regular Meeting of Council held July 9, 2007 be adopted as circulated.  
**M/S/C**
- 6. DELEGATIONS, PETITIONS AND PRESENTATIONS**  
None presented.
- 2007-359 COTW minutes
- 7. COMMITTEE MINUTES**  
**RESOLVED THAT** the Minutes of the Committee of the Whole dated July 16, 2007, and the Finance Committee dated July 16, 2007 be received as circulated;
- AND THAT** the report submitted to the July 16, 2007 Finance Committee Meeting by the Director, Financial services, entitled **2008-2012 Financial Plan Schedule**, be received for Council's information, as per the Committee's request.  
**M/S/C**
- 2007-360 Items 8.1.1-8.2.1
- 8. COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS**  
**8.1 COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE**  
The record notes that items 8.1.1, 8.1.2, 8.1.3, 8.1.4, 8.1.5, 8.1.6, and 8.2.1 were considered and approved in one motion.
- 8.1.1 MISSION STATEMENT AND EMERGENCY PLAN**  
**RESOLVED THAT** the following Spirit of BC Mission Statement for the City of White Rock be approved:
- White Rock, in partnership with Semiahmoo First Nation, welcomes the world to embrace opportunities and create legacies to mirror the Olympic spirit.*
- AND FURTHER THAT** the Transportation & Safety Committee's recommendation that Council regularly review and update their emergency plan based on new and potential risks, such as pandemic risk, increased volume of dangerous cargo shipments, and the condition of the Burlington Northern Santa Fe railbed, be approved.
- 8.1.2 TOURISM PLAN PUBLIC PROCESS**

Report provided by the Director, Corporate Services, entitled  
***Tourism Strategic Plan – Public Process***, dated July 16, 2007.  
07.07.10/863

**RESOLVED THAT** the public process timeline for the White Rock Tourism Strategic Plan, as outlined in the report entitled “Tourism Strategic Plan – Public Process,” submitted by the Director of Corporate Services, be approved;

**AND THAT** Chamber of Commerce representatives be included in the September 19, 2007 Planning Session.

#### **8.1.3 CAPITAL PROJECT UPDATE**

Report provided by the Director, Operations, entitled ***Capital Project Update – 2007 Pavement Overlay Program and Goggs Avenue Reconstruction Projects***, dated July 16, 2007. 07.07.11/102

**RESOLVED THAT** the report ***Capital Project Update – 2007 Pavement Overlay Program and Goggs Avenue Reconstruction Projects***, submitted by the Director, Operations, be received;

**AND THAT** the current Pavement Overlay Program funding be increased by \$39,000 and referred to the Finance Committee to determine the source of funding;

**AND THAT** the contract “2007 Capital Paving Projects, Reference No. 2007-02” be awarded to Imperial Paving Ltd., in the amount of \$528,417.10, excluding contingency and GST;

**AND THAT** the Goggs Avenue Reconstruction project be awarded to Siemens Contracting Ltd. in the amount of \$960,200.00, excluding contingency and GST, with funding determined by the Finance Committee;

**AND THAT** the Mayor and Director of Corporate Services be authorized to execute the necessary documents.

#### **8.1.4 PARKS MASTER PLAN**

Report provided by the Parks Manager entitled ***Presentation of Draft Parks Master Plan***, dated July 16, 2007. 07.07.12/504

**RESOLVED THAT** this report be received for information with thanks;

**AND THAT** the draft Parks Master Plan be placed on the agendas of all City Committees to obtain their comments.

**8.1.5 COMMITTEE WORKPLANS**

Report provided by the City Manager entitled *Workplans and Resources for Select Committees*, dated July 16, 2007. 07.07.9/820

**RESOLVED THAT** this report be received for information.

**8.1.6 LOWER MAINLAND MULTI-AGENCY TOBACCO CONTROL COALITION**

A letter from representatives of the Lower Mainland Multi-Agency Tobacco Control Coalition, dated June 27, 2007, requesting the City of White Rock to provide input to the provincial government on new smoking regulations.

**RESOLVED THAT** the letter from the Lower Mainland Multi-Agency Tobacco Control Coalition, dated June 27, 2007, be received;

**AND THAT** Councillor Todd be officially appointed as the City's representative on the Lower Mainland Multi-Agency Tobacco Control Coalition;

**AND THAT** the City contact all other lower mainland municipalities to encourage them to each appoint a representative to the Coalition.

**8.2 FINANCE COMMITTEE**

**8.2.1 2007 FUNDING UPDATE**

Report submitted by the Director, Financial Services, entitled *2007 Funding Update*, dated July 16, 2007 07.07.15/602

**RESOLVED THAT** the available funding from the 2007 budget and an additional \$100,000 from short-term debt be allocated to award and fund the following projects:

- the Pavement Overlay Program increase
- the Goggs Avenue Reconstruction project
- matching the White Rock South Surrey Baseball Association's funding of the repairs and upgrades to the Centennial Park batting cage at Centennial Park, to a maximum of \$4,744.84;

**AND THAT** the balance of \$68,000, together with the \$20,000 originally allocated to the leasing of electronic voting machines, be referred to the upcoming 2008 Financial Planning process.

M/S/C

**9. UNFINISHED BUSINESS**

No items were presented.

**10. NEW BUSINESS**

**10.1 SANDPIPER PUB'S APPLICATION TO CHANGE CURRENTLY APPROVED HOURS OF SALE**

Report from the Director, Corporate Services, entitled *Sandpiper Pub Application to Change Hours of Sale*, dated July 23, 2007.  
*07.07.11/208*

The record notes that the following submissions were received:

- (a) Tracey Jacques, Valley Drive, Vancouver – In Favor
- (b) Joan Mackenzie, 1119 Vidal Street, White Rock – In Favor
- (c) Alison Stark, 15210 Pacific Avenue, White Rock – In Favor
- (d) Rodney Dranfield, President, White Rock Blues Society; Surrey resident – In Favor
- (e) Cathy Burrell, 15557 Marine Drive, White Rock, Owner Cavern Clothing – In Favor
- (f) Randy Bock, No address given – Against
- (g) Janice Beard and Family, 990 Finlay Street, White Rock – Against
- (h) Bridget Michels, 842 Parker Street, White Rock – In Favor
- (i) Wendy Kerwin, 929 Lee Street, White Rock – In Favor
- (j) Norma Barber, 15621 Marine Drive, White Rock – Against
- (k) Steve and Wendy Grider, 858 Maple Street, White Rock – Against
- (l) Judy Baker Davidson, 842 Parker Street, White Rock – In Favor
- (m) Ian Bray, 129 Street, White Rock – In Favor
- (n) Michael J Thomas, 842 Parker Street, White Rock – In Favor
- (o) Duncan Kennedy, 15621 Marine Drive, White Rock – Against
- (p) Peter and Veronica Garrett, 15621 Marine Drive, White Rock – Against
- (q) Russ Davies, 1113 Elm Street, White Rock – In Favor
- (r) Linda Zarytski, 15621 Marine Drive, White Rock – Against

The Director of Corporate Services reported that the applicant has agreed with the recommendation identified by staff in the report and articulated in the resolution voted on below.

2007-361 Sandpiper LCLB

**RESOLVED THAT** Council support the Sandpiper's application to the Liquor Control & Licensing Branch to amend its liquor license to extend its closing hours of sale only insofar as those hours do not exceed those of the Ocean Beach Club (Monday-Saturday at 1:30 a.m., Sunday at midnight), because:

- (a) The potential for additional noise is minimal given that there are other businesses in the area with liquor license operating at this time
- (b) There would be minimal impact on the community since there are many similar businesses that are already operating in the area during these hours
- (c) There is no reason to believe the request would result in the establishment being operated in a manner that is contrary to its primary purpose as permitted by its liquor license.

**AND THAT** Council therefore not support the Sandpiper's application to extend its hours to 1:00 a.m. on Sundays and 2:00 a.m. on Fridays and Saturdays, but endorse the Sandpiper's amendment of the its application to reflect the Ocean Beach Club's hours, should it choose to do so;

**AND THAT** these recommendations be conveyed to the Liquor Control & Licensing Branch for their consideration when the Sandpiper's application is submitted.

M/S/C

**EXTENSION OF THE MEETING**

2007-362 Extension

**RESOLVED THAT** pursuant to Section 5.3 of the Council Procedures Bylaw, No. 1739, Council extends past the hour of 10:30 p.m.

\*10:30 p.m. Councillor McLean departed.

**10.2 SPIRIT OF THE SEA COMMITTEE “ART MURALS IN ACTION” PROPOSAL**

Report submitted by the Director, Leisure Services, entitled *Spirit of the Sea Committee “Art Murals in Action” Proposal*, dated July 23, 2007. 07.07.11/432.1

2007-363 Murals

**RESOLVED THAT** the Spirit of the Sea Committee “Art Murals in Action” proposal be approved;

**AND THAT** the murals be done on 8 panels of wood;

**AND THAT** 4 of the panels be mounted on the Oxford Street washroom (west wall) and 4 of the panels be mounted on the Balsam Street washroom (south wall), from August 6 to October 31, 2007;

**AND THAT** the panels then be returned to the Spirit of the Sea Committee.

**DEFEATED**

**AGAINST: Councillors Todd, Ferguson, Anderson, and Mayor Forster**

**SUBSEQUENT MOTION:**

2007-364 Murals

**RESOLVED THAT** the Spirit of the Sea Committee “Art Murals in Action” proposal be accepted;

**AND THAT** the art be painted directly on the concrete walls and remain on the walls until the Public Art Committee has met and Council has a City mural program.

**M/S/C**

**AGAINST: Councillor Coleridge**

**11. MOTIONS OF WHICH NOTICE GIVEN AT PREVIOUS MEETINGS**

**11.1 RESIDENTIAL PARKING**

**WHEREAS** the Zoning Bylaw regulates the parking requirements for residential development;

**AND WHEREAS** on-street parking on residential streets is in many instances at capacity;

**AND WHEREAS** on-street parking on residential streets can lead to traffic congestion;

2007-365 Parking

**THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** the Zoning Bylaw parking requirements for residential and related uses be reviewed by staff with the intention of increasing off-street parking requirements in new residential development to alleviate on-street parking and related traffic issues.

**M/S/C**

**AGAINST: Councillor Todd**

**12. NOTICE OF MOTIONS FOR SUBSEQUENT MEETING**

None presented

**13. COMMUNICATIONS**

**13.1 HOMEOWNER PROTECTION OFFICE**

Letter from the Homeowner Protection Office dated July 9, 2007, regarding changes to the *Homeowner Protection Act*.

2007-366 Homeowner Protection

**RESOLVED THAT** the correspondence from the Homeowner Protection Office be received for information.

**14. REPORTS**

**14.1 MAYOR'S REPORT**

The Mayor extended her best wishes for the August break, and thanked the City's three German interns for their diligence during their time here.

**14.2 COUNCILLORS' REPORTS**

None presented.

**15. BYLAWS**

**15.1 REZONING BYLAW NO. 1812 – 15540 GOGGS AVENUE**

The City of White Rock Rezoning Bylaw No. 1812 to rezone 15540 Goggs Avenue from One-Unit Residential Zone (RS-1) to One-Unit (Infill) Residential Zone (RS-7) is presented for third reading. The bylaw received first and second readings on June 25, 2007, was the

subject of a Public Hearing held on July 9, 2007 and received third reading on July 9, 2007.

2007-367 Bylaw 1812

**RESOLVED THAT** the City of White Rock Rezoning Bylaw No. 1812 be finally adopted.

**M/S/C**

**15.2 REZONING BYLAW NO. 1815 – 1486 EVERALL STREET**

The record notes that this item was brought forward and dealt with earlier in the meeting, under item 3.1.

**16. CLOSURE**

The Mayor declared the meeting closed at 11:00 p.m.

---

Mayor Forster

---

Tina Penney  
Director, Corporate Services