

## Integrated Facility Project

### CWR/CHI/PACC

#### Meeting of the Steering Committee

#### MINUTES

Friday May 22, 2009

3:00 pm at City Hall in City Hall Board Room

Present: Rita Clarkson, Len Fowler, Dave Mitchell, Greg Scott, Darrell Zbeetnoff, Sandra Kurylo, Peggy Clark, Kasian Architects (2 representatives), VanBots Construction Managers (3 representatives )

1. Review of Report to Council:

PC went over the staff report that is going to Council on May 25<sup>th</sup>. She asked for clarification as to why the building is not LEEDS certified. The architects explained that they will provide best sustainable practices.

2. Four Options

VanBots will review all of the options.

1) Seismic Upgrade of existing facility.

(none of the options have additional design costs included)

\$1,021,653                    -\$83,220                    water line \$125,000

This has design contingency

All four budgets are based on doing cold side of at the same time as club house.

2) New pre-engineered building (same size as existing)

-\$31,287

Design contingency needs to be added +\$100,000

3) New pre-engineered building (4 rink larger apron)

Van Bots will add on cost for ice shaver room.

+ \$83,544                    water \$125,000

Design contingency needs to be added + \$150,000

4) New pre-engineered building (6 rink)

+ \$494,600                    water \$125,000

Option 2, 3, and 4 would not be ready for curling season. At least two months of curling season will be lost.

Issue 1

Length of curling season

Issue 2

Water service to 16<sup>th</sup> Ave

Reroute 42 inch \$100,000

Which option do we want to go with?

Discussion took place with respect to ensuring that the viewing areas are retained.

Option 1 – Can't add on and we don't have extra footage

Option 2 – Can expand in the future

Option 2a – Additional cost

	\$83,544	(+ \$215,000)
Design	<u>\$150,000</u>	

DM we need to go with 2a

LF we need to go with 2a

Option 2 \$70,000 plus costs for Ice shaver plus costs for shed

Option 2a \$215,000

There are some savings because of the size of the building.

Option 2a

- reduce size of the building
- reduce size of the kitchen

MOTION: To go with option 2a and budget will stay at \$6.5 million  
M/S/C

-pre-engineered buildings increase costs +\$200,000

Base Budget Changes: F.F.E. \$100,000 from \$350,000 PACC  
Kitchen Less \$100,000

22 weeks from approval

2a – relocating the Refrigeration Plant

Next Meeting: TBD



